themes such as a graphic sexual encounter, underage drinking and date rape as reasons for the removal. Also, inappropriate language DQG 3QRV VOR QHV OH

religious activities. The webinar discussed the First Amendment principles and legal precedents that underlie these lawsuitand provided practical guidance on crafting policies that allow the library to effectively manage its facilities while avoiding legal liability and preserving patrons' access and First Amendment rights.

Before the Mud Flies: Conversaions for Banned Books Week±In September, Millie Davis from the National Council of Teachers of English Knitstin Pekollshared practical ways to prepare colleagues for Banned Books Week. The speakers focused on talking points and ideas to encourage dats with those in the workplace not familiar with the First Amendment, the Library Bill of Rights and the rights librarians defend. The webinar embraces the idea that Banned Books: HHN GRHVQ¶W KDYH WR EH FRQWURYHUMENDARD beingHJDWLYH proactive in our defense of the freedom to read.

Advocating for Intellectual Freedom: Beyond "Banned Books Week" ±In October, Susan Brown from Chapel Hill and Marci Merola, \$ / \$ ¶di/rector of Library Advocacy, provided excellent tips a RXW UDLVLQJ SXEOLF DZDUHQHVV EH\RQG 3%DQQH, W¶V LPSRUWDQW WR K DhtellectDal Yrededom awardmes for Dat Dwith LRQ RI L librarians but also with support staff, boards, stakeholders, and most with allow community or readers.

annual conference and to revise it consistent with the Council debate at that meeting. Over the course of three conference calls in August, September and October, the group discussed its charge and reviewdethe resolution. Doug Archer, Aaron Dobbs and Laura Koltutsky formed a drafting party and created a first draft revision of the resolution. The Working Group reviewed the first draft, made additional changes, and finalized the work on the document the ocument the first draft revision. At that time, the proposed draft document was taken back to COL, IFC, and SRRT for further review. All three groups reported that they could support the document in principle. The proposed draft of the revised resolution was taken back to COL, IFC, and SRRT for further review. All three groups reported that they could support the document in principle. The proposed draft of the revised resolution was taken back to COL, IFC, and SRRT for further review. All three groups reported that they could support the document in principle. The proposed draft of the revised resolution was taken back to the Working Group's ALA Connect page atttp://connectala.org/node/24687alnd also was sent to the Council listserv in midNovember for further comment and discussionments received were very positive and no substantive changes were suggested and IFC, with SRRT participation, discussed the final raft of the resolution at this midwinter meeting and jointly recommentated adoption to CouncilAs a result of this collaboration, The SRRT Action Council has accepted our invitation to appoint a SRRT liaison to the IFC and to the COL Legislative Alged Meeting and the process will serve as a model of how different groups with Alba canwork together respectfully to resolve differences and advantage goals we share as ansociation. We thank the members of the Working Group: Kent Oliver (COL), Dougher (IFC), Al Kagan and Laura Kotutsky (SRRT), Aaron Dobbs and Jim M A3-9(re)7a2u20((SR)-3(R)-2(T))4(, Aa)6(ron D)5(ob

RESOLUTION FOR RESTORING CIVIL LIBERTIES AND O

libraries may define the time, place, ornanner in which the user contributes the content to the library's discovery system. Such restrictions must be reasonable and annot be based upon the liefs or affiliations of the user or the expressed in the user erated content.

In any instance, libraries should develop and publish written policies addressing users' contributions to the discovery says these policies should be made available in commonly used language in the community served.

The librarymust clearly identify what insergenerated contented what is librarygenerated content the library discoverysystem. Such a distinction serves to affirm both the users First Amendment right to free expression and their responsibility for that expression.

Finally, the librarymust be scrupulous in protecting the confidentiality of personally identifiable information of users who contribute tentto the library discovery system.

AdoptedJanuary 12, 2016.